

International Medicine

www.theinternationalmedicine.org

(lournat of Medicine & Surgery) www.theinternationalmedicine.org

International Medicine

Research Article

Section: Anaesthesiology

Prospective Double Blinded Randomized Control Study, Comparing the Intrathecal Efficacy of Adjuvants Like Fentanyl 25mcg, Dexmeditomedine 10mcg with Low Dose 0.42% Hyperbaric Levobupivacaine (4.2mg) in Patients Coming for Elective Anorectal Surgeries

Dr. Lokesh S.B.¹, Dr. Kavyashree Patil², Dr. Hari Prabhakar K.³ & Dr. Prakash S.⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Critical care and Pain, ESIC MC PGIMSR & MODEL HOSPITAL, Rajajinagar, Bengaluru

²Registrar (Academic), Department of Anaesthesiology, Apollo Speciality Hospital, Bengaluru

^{3,4} Junior Resident, Department of Anaesthesiology, Critical Care and Pain, ESIC MC PGIMSR & MODEL HOSPITAL, Rajajinagar, Bengaluru

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received: 16-11-2024 Accepted: 21-12-2024

Key words:

Saddle block Levobupivacaine Dexmedetomidine Fentanyl

*Corresponding author: Dr. Lokesh S.B.

Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Critical care and Pain, ESIC MC PGIMSR & MODEL HOSPITAL, Rajajinagar, Bengaluru

ABSTRACT

Anorectal surgeries, typically performed in ambulatory settings, often result in postoperative challenges such as prolonged pain, leg immobility, urinary retention, and hemodynamic disturbances. Regional anesthesia, specifically saddle block anesthesia, using low doses of local anesthetics with adjuvants like opioids and alpha-2 agonists, can extend analgesia while minimizing these complications. This study aimed to compared the effects of intrathecal administration of Dexmedetomidine and Fentanyl with 0.42% hyperbaric Levobupivacaine on sensory and motor block onset and duration, hemodynamic stability, postoperative analgesia, and adverse effects. Conducted between November 2023 and June 2024, 240 patients undergoing elective anorectal surgeries were randomly divided into three groups: one receiving Levobupivacaine with Dexmedetomidine (Group D), another with Fentanyl (Group F), and a control group (Group C) receiving Levobupivacaine without additives. Results indicated that Group D had a slower onset of sensory block compared to Group F but a significantly prolonged duration of analgesia (314.54 minutes for Group D vs. 200.98 minutes for Group F and 185.71 minutes for Group C). Sensory regression times were longest in Group D, the time for first voiding of urine was delayed, particularly in Group D (242.56 minutes) compared to Group F (135.90 minutes) and Group C (100.25 minutes). Hemodynamic parameters, including heart rate, blood pressure, and mean arterial pressure, remained stable across all groups. Overall, the study concluded that using low-dose hyperbaric Levobupivacaine with adjuvants such as Dexmedetomidine or Fentanyl provides prolonged postoperative analgesia, minimal motor

INTRODUCTION

Spinal anesthesia is widely regarded as the most commonly used technique for perianal surgeries due to its ease of administration, cost-effectiveness, and ability to provide adequate surgical anesthesia. However, a significant challenge associated with spinal anesthesia, especially when using only local anesthetics, is the short duration of action. This results in the need for early analgesic intervention in the postoperative period to manage pain effectively. Postoperative pain control is critical, as inadequate management can lead to increased discomfort, prolonged recovery, and higher medical costs [1,2].

Various adjuvants have been used alongside local anesthetics to prolong the duration of postoperative analgesia and improve intraoperative pain management. These adjuvants are particularly beneficial in avoiding intraoperative visceral and somatic pain.

Recently, α -2 adrenoreceptor agonists, such as Clonidine and Dexmedetomidine, and opioids like Fentanyl have gained popularity as adjuvants due to their sedative and analgesic properties. They provide high-quality intraoperative pain control, prolonged postoperative analgesia, and minimal hemodynamic side effects [3,4].

Dexmedetomidine, a highly selective $\alpha 2$ adrenergic receptor agonist, is widely used as an adjuvant in subarachnoid blocks for various surgical procedures, including anorectal, orthopedic, urolo gical, and lower abdominal surgeries. It is a S-enantiomer of Medetomidine, known for its high $\alpha 2/\alpha 1$ selectivity ratio (1620:1) compared to Clonidine (220:1), making it a potent choice for enhancing spinal anesthesia. Dexmedetomidine exerts its analgesic effects at both the spinal and supraspinal levels, prolonging the duration of sensory and motor blockade. It achieves this by binding to presynaptic C-fibers and postsynaptic dorsal horn neurons, which

© The Author(s) 2024. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source.

reduces pain transmission. Moreover, Dexmedetomidine has been shown to attenuate the body's stress response to surgery and anesthesia, contributing to better patient outcomes [5,6].

Fentanyl, a synthetic opioid, is a potent lipophilic μ opioid receptor agonist, estimated to be 80 times more potent than morphine. This potency is largely due to its high lipophilicity, which allows it to penetrate the central nervous system more effectively. Fentanyl is commonly administered intrathecally in doses of 10–30 mcg, providing rapid onset and a short duration of action (4–6 hours) with minimal cephalic spread, making it less likely to cause delayed respiratory depression compared to other opioids. When used intrathecally, Fentanyl selectively decreases nociceptive input from A δ and C fibers without affecting dorsal root axons or somatosensory evoked potentials. This makes Fentanyl an ideal adjuvant for enhancing spinal anesthesia, providing good-quality anesthesia, and reducing the need for early postoperative analgesic supplementation [7].

Levobupivacaine, the pure Senantiomer of racemic Bupivacaine, has a more favorable safety profile, particularly with respect to its effects on the cardiovascular and central nervous systems. It has been used in both hyperbaric and isobaric forms for anorectal surgeries. While hyperbaric local anesthetics are more commonly used in spinal anesthesia, they can lead to side effects such as hypotension and excessively high levels of spinal block. These effects can be minimized by using lower doses of local anesthetics. Saddle block anesthesia, a selective form of spinal anesthesia, is often employed for perianal surgeries as it targets the sacrococcygeal nerve roots with a small bolus of hyperbaric local anesthetic. This technique allows for effective anesthesia with reduced risk of complications [8,9].

Adjuvants like Fentanyl and Dexmedetomidine are often added to local anesthetics to enhance sensory blockade and reduce the dose of the local anesthetic required. This combination results in improved intraoperative pain control and prolonged postoperative analgesia without causing significant motor blockade or hemodynamic instability [10].

The aim of this prospective study is to compare the efficacy of Dexmedetomidine (10 mcg) and Fentanyl (25 mcg) as adjuvants when administered intrathecally with a low dose of 0.42% hyperbaric Levobupivacaine (4.2 mg) for perianal surgeries. The study will evaluate the onset and duration of sensory and motor blocks, the hemodynamic effects, postoperative analgesia, and any adverse effects associated with each adjuvant. By examining these parameters, this study seeks to determine the optimal combination of local anesthetic and adjuvant for providing effective anesthesia and prolonged postoperative pain relief, with minimal side effects [11].

The combination of low-dose hyperbaric Levobupivacaine with intrathecal Dexmedetomidine or Fentanyl is a promising approach for providing high-quality anesthesia for perianal surgeries. Both adjuvants have shown the potential to extend the duration of sensory blockade, enhance postoperative analgesia, and maintain stable hemodynamic parameters, while minimizing motor blockade. This study aims to further explore and compare the efficacy of these adjuvants in clinical practice, offering valuable insights into optimizing anesthesia techniques for improved patient outcomes [12,13].

The objective of this study is to compare the effects of Dexmedetomidine and Fentanyl as adjuvants to 0.42% hyperbaric Levobupivacaine administered intrathecally, focusing on the onset and duration of sensory and motor blocks, postoperative analgesia, and hemodynamic stability. Primary outcomes include the onset of sensory blockade, presence of motor block, duration of analgesia, maximum sensory block, and the time for two-segment regression. Secondary outcomes assess hemodynamic changes and potential side effects such as hypotension, bradycardia, sedation, pruritus, nausea, vomiting, urinary retention, dizziness, and blurred vision. The study aims to identify the most effective adjuvant for prolonged analgesia with minimal adverse effects.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This prospective observational study was conducted at the Department of ANORECTAL SURGERIES, ESIC MC PGIMSR & Model Hospital, Rajajinagar from November 2023 to June 2024. Ethical approval has been obtained from the Ethical Approval Committee of ESIC MC PGIMSR & Model Hospital, Rajajinagar.

Study Population:

The study population was randomly divided into three groups: Group D received 4.2 mg of 0.42% hyperbaric Levobupivacaine with 10 μ g of Dexmedetomidine; Group F received the same dose of Levobupivacaine with 25 μ g of Fentanyl; Group C received Levobupivacaine without any additives. Inclusion criteria were patients aged 18-60 years, ASA class I or II, scheduled for elective anorectal surgeries. Patients with comorbidities, allergies to anesthetics, ASA class III-V, emergency cases, BMI over 30 kg/m², or contraindications for spinal anesthesia were excluded.

Data Analysis:

Data was collected from patients aged 18-60 years, classified as ASA class I and II, who were scheduled for elective anorectal surgeries without any comorbid conditions. The participants were randomly divided into three groups, with each group consisting of 80 patients. Group D received 4.2 mg of 0.42% hyperbaric Levob-upivacaine with 10 μ g of Dexmedetomidine, Group F received the same dose of Levobupivacaine with 25 μ g of Fentanyl, and Group C received 4.2 mg of Levobupivacaine with 0.5 ml of normal saline. A preoperative assessment was conducted the day before surgery, and written informed consent was obtained. Patients were premedicated with Ranitidine and Alpraz-

Volume 10, Issue 2, 2024

olam and received intravenous fluids before anesthesia. Monitoring included pulse, SPO2, ECG, and NIBP using a multi-channel monitor. A subarachnoid block was performed at the L3-L4 interspace using a 25G Quincke's spinal needle, and after confirming clear cerebrospinal fluid flow, the appropriate anesthetic was injected. Patients remained seated for five minutes post-injection.

RESULT

The study involved 240 patients scheduled for elective anorectal surgeries under saddle block anesthesia. They were divided into three groups of 80: Group D received 4.2 mg of hyperbaric Levobupivacaine (0.42%) with 10 μ g Dexmedetomidine, Group F received the same dose of Levobupivacaine with 25 μ g Fentanyl, and Group C received Levobupivacaine without any additives.

Demographically, the patients' ages ranged from 18 to

60 with no significant age differences across the groups. Themean ages were 29.91 years for Group D, 30.10 for Group F, and 30.15 for Group C, showing homogeneity in age distribution (p=0.694). Gender distribution was also balanced across the groups, with 46.3% female and 53.8% male patients in each group (p=1).

Regarding physical characteristics, there were no significant differences in weight, height, or BMI between the groups. The mean weight was around 63 kg across all groups, with a standard deviation of 4.87-5.72 kg. Heights averaged about 166 cm, and BMI values were consistent at approximately 22.78-22.92 kg/m², indicating no statistical significance in these measurements (p > 0.5 for all). The groups were well-matched in terms of these key demographic and physical factors, ensuring a reliable comparison of anesthesia outcomes.





The mean total duration of surgery across the three groups was similar, with Group D averaging 25.51 minutes, Group F at 24.80 minutes, and Group C at 24.93 minutes. The differences in surgery duration among the groups were not statistically significant (p=0.387), indicating that the type of anesthesia used did not impact the length of the procedures.

 Table 1: Comparison of Time for onset of Sensory Block, Time for Maximal Level of Sensory Block, Time for Two Segment Sensory Regression, Total Duration of Analgesia and time for Rescue Analgesia Among all three Groups

Group		Mean ±	P value	
Group		Std. Deviation (in mins)		
Time for onset of Sensory	D	2.41±0.724		
Block	F	2.01±0.464	<0.001	
DIOCK	С	3.80±1.141		
Time for maximal lavel of	D	4.58±0.823		
sansory block	F	4.01±1.611	<0.001	
sensory block	С	4.88±0.333		
Time for Two segment sensory	D	47.34±9.480		
ragrassion	F	37.04±7.836	<0.001	
regression	С	32.00±5.634		
	D	314.99±54.719		
Total duration of analgesia	F	200.99±17.916	<0.001	
	С	185.71±9.096		
Time for Rescue Analgesia	D	366.38±53.427		
	F	241.60±24.420	<0.001	
	С	216.44±14.760		

Volume 10, Issue 2, 2024

The study shows significant differences in sensory block onset, regression, and analgesia duration among the three groups. Group F had the fastest sensory block onset (2.01 min), followed by Group D (2.41 min), and Group C (4.01 min) (p<0.001). Group D had the longest total duration of analgesia (314.99 min), followed by Group F (200.99 min)

and Group C (185.71 min) (p<0.001). Group D also had the longest time to rescue analgesia (366.58 min), compared to Group F (241.60 min) and Group C (216.44 min) (p<0.001). These differences were statistically. significant, with Group D showing the most prolonged effects



Figure 2: Graph Showing Distribution of the Subject According to Maximal Level of Sensory Block and Group

Fable 2: Comparison of Mean	Heart Rate at Various	Interval Among all the three	Group
------------------------------------	-----------------------	------------------------------	-------

	Group	Mean	Std. Deviation	P value
Basal	D	88.06	10.857	
	F	85.33	9.387	.071
	С	84.68	9.136	
	D	88.06	10.857	
0min	F	85.33	9.387	.071
	С	84.68	9.136	
	D	87.59	10.692	
2min	F	85.48	9.027	.093
	С	84.34	8.767	
	D	87.93	9.912	
4min	F	85.49	8.815	.089
	С	84.90	8.765	
	D	87.54	11.026	
6min	F	85.40	8.511	.153
	С	84.76	8.610	
	D	87.56	10.751	
8min	F	85.31	8.990	.135
	С	84.70	8.575	
	D	87.56	10.751	
10min	F	85.31	8.990	.135
	С	84.70	8.575	
	D	87.20	8.834	
15min	F	85.14	8.837	.143
	С	84.58	8.827	
	D	86.83	8.506	
20min	F	85.16	8.489	.187
	С	84.41	8.501	
	D	87.51	9.306	
30min	F	85.25	8.322	.150
	С	85.14	8.322	
	D	87.56	8.734	
40min	F	85.29	8.451	.144
	С	85.21	8.456	
	D	87.85	8.265	
50min	F	85.20	8.373	.062
	С	85.11	8.274	
60min	D	87.85	8.265	
	F	85.20	8.373	.062
	С	85.11	8.274	
75min	D	87.85	8.265	
	F	85.20	8.373	.062
	С	85.11	8.274	
	D	88.08	7.843	
90min	F	85.58	8.144	.066
	С	85.39	8.259	

The study compared systolic (SBP), diastolic (DBP), and mean arterial pressure (MAP) across three groups at various intervals, finding no statistically significant differences in any of these measures. Systolic, diastolic, and MAP readings were comparable between Group D, Group F, and Group C. Similarly, oxygen saturation (SPO2) levels were consistent and statistically similar among the groups throughout the monitoring period. The stability of these parameters indicates no major hemodynamic variations among the groups.

	Group	Mean	Std Deviation	P value
Time for 1 st Void	D	242.56	36.826	
of Urine	F	135.90	10.935	<0.001
	С	100.25	13.485	

Table 3: Time for 1st Void of Urine

Table 4: Distribution of the Subject According to Modified RAMSAY Sedation Score and Group

PSC	Group	Total		
KSC.	D	F	С	10141
1	4	8	7	19
1	5.0%	10.0%	8.8%	7.9%
2	76	72	73	221
	95.0%	90.0%	91.3%	92.1%
Total	80	80	80	240
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 5: Distribution of the Subject According to Surgeon Satisfaction Among the Groups

Surgeon satisfact	Group	Total		
	D	F	С	i otai
E 11 4	74	73	58	205
Excellent	92.5%	91.3%	72.5%	85.4%
Good	6	7	22	3 5
	7.5%	8.8%	27.5%	14.6%
Fair	0	0	0	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%
Poor	0	0	0	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total	80	80	80	240
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table 6: Distribution	of the Subject A	ccording to Patien	t Satisfaction A	mong the (Groups
Inoic of Distribution	or the Subjecti	ceor ang to r atten	e Sutistaction 1	mong me v	JIUups

Patient	Group D		Group F		Group C	
Satisfaction	No	%	No	%	No	%
Excellent	58	72.5	58	72.5	53	66.6
Good	13	16.25	11	13.75	9	11.25
Fair	9	11.25	11	13.75	18	23.3
Poor	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	80	100.0	80	100.0	80	100.0

Patient satisfaction was rated as excellent in 72.5% of patients in Groups D and F, and 66.6% in Group C. Good satisfaction was observed in 16.25% of Group D, 13.75% of Group F, and 11.25% of Group C. Fair satisfaction was reported in 11.25% of Group D, 13.75% of Group F, and 23.3% of Group C. The differences in satisfaction levels between the groups were statistically insignificant (p=0. 676).

DISCUSSION

Saddle spinal block is widely recognized as a primary anesthetic technique for perianal surgeries in adults. Utilizing a low dose of intrathecal hyperbaric local anesthetic in the sitting position effectively limits sympathetic block, enabling patients to ambulate early postoperatively. Despite its benefits, a low dose of hyperbaric local anesthetic used alone

does not extend postoperative analgesia, making it particularly suitable for outpatient perianal procedures where it facilitates early mobilization without pain or residual anesthesia complications. Various intrathecal adjuvants have been employed to enhance anesthetic quality and prolong analgesia. This study aims to evaluate the reliability and efficacy of saddle block utilizing a low dose of hyperbaric Levobupivacaine (4.2 mg, 0.42%) combined with Fentanyl (25 mcg) and Dexmedetomidine (10 mcg) for perianal surgeries, focusing on their impacts on sensory block onset, postoperative analgesia, voiding effects, the timing of rescue analgesia, and overall patient and surgeon satisfaction [14,15].

The choice of saddle spinal block over traditional spinal block is driven by its advantages, including effective.

intraoperative and postoperative analgesia, early mobilization, minimal hemodynamic side effects, and reduced postoperative opioid consumption. Levobupivacaine hydrochloride, a pure S (-) enantiomer of racemic Bupivacaine, is associated with fewer cardiovascular and central nervous system effects than its predecessor, owing to the lower affinity of the S (-) isomer for inactivated cardiac sodium channels. This reduced cardiotoxicity renders Levobupivacaine an appealing alternative to racemic Bupivacaine. Both hyperbaric and isobaric Levobupivacaine have been used in anorectal surgeries, but sufficient data comparing their efficacy remains sparse. To minimize the potential for hemodynamic side effects often associated with hyperbaric solutions, the study employs lower doses of local anesthetics [16,17]

Dexmedetomidine stands out for its anxiolytic and opioid-sparing properties, alongside its minimal impact on respiratory depression, making it a valuable asset in anesthesia and intensive care settings. Its analgesic effects are mediated through $\alpha 2$ receptor interaction and have been shown to prolong anesthesia when used as an adjuvant with local anesthetics for various nerve blocks. Although the neurotoxicity associated with Dexmedetomidine remains uncertain, reports of potential demyelination have emerged, particularly with epidural injections. However, other studies indicate a neuroprotective effect against cerebral ischemia. Overall, human studies on perineural Dexmedetomidine do not suggest significant neurological deficits, yet safety data regarding its neuraxial and perineural administration remain limited [18].

An optimal anesthetic technique is expected to yield excellent surgical conditions, rapid recovery, early discharge, minimal postoperative side effects, and high satisfaction rates for both patients and surgeons, all while maintaining high-quality analgesia and cost-effective anesthetic services. Selective spinal anesthesia utilizing minimal effective local anesthetic doses for specific surgeries, such as perianal procedures, is increasingly popular due to its effectiveness. The saddle block, allowing for early mobilization without pain or complications, combined with the addition of adjuvants like Dexmedetomidine and Fentanyl, extends postoperative analgesia while minimizing hemodynamic complications. Given that anal surgeries are minor and necessitate minimal motor block for early mobility, the application of lower local anesthetic doses, supplemented with adjuvants, enhances patient satisfaction outcomes our study aims to confirm [19,20].

Saddle anesthesia is a selective spinal technique delivering a direct small bolus of hyperbaric local anesthetic toward the S4-S5 and coccygeal nerve roots. Previous research on saddle blocks has indicated varying low doses of hyperbaric Bupivacaine (1.5 to 4 mg) for minor perianal surgeries, with a determination by Roshidi et al. that the effective dose for saddle block is 1.9 mg. The reliability, short

duration, and excellent satisfaction reported in these studies underscore the technique's value. By incorporating adjuvants such as Fentanyl (25 mcg) and Dexmedetomidine (10 mcg), our study anticipates improved sensory block effects and reduced local anesthetic requirements [21].

The demographic data collected in the study showed comparable age, sex, weight, height, BMI, and surgical duration across the three groups, with no statistically significant differences in these parameters. Specifically, the mean surgical durations in Group D, Group F, and Group C were 25.51 ± 4.186 , 24.80 ± 3.066 , and 24.93 ± 3.055 minutes, respectively, with a P value of 0.387 indicating no significant variation [22].

In terms of sensory block onset, Group D exhibited a sensory onset time of 2.41 ± 0.724 minutes, Group F showed 2.01 ± 0.464 minutes, and Group C presented 3.80 ± 1.141 minutes, resulting in a statistically significant P value of <0.001. Group F achieved the earliest onset compared to Groups D and C, confirming previous studies on hyperbaric Levobupivacaine's effectiveness. The time for maximal sensory block was similarly analyzed, with Group D reaching this point at 4.58 ± 0.823 minutes, Group F at 4.01 ± 1.611 minutes, and Group C at 4.88 ± 0.33 minutes, again with a statistically significant result (P<0.001).

Time for two-segment sensory regression varied among the groups, with Group D at 47.34 \pm 9.480 minutes, Group F at 37.04 \pm 7.836 minutes, and Group C at 32.00 \pm 5.634 minutes, all showing statistical significance (P<0.001). Total analgesia duration was longest in Group D at 314.99 \pm 54.719 minutes, followed by Group F at 200.99 \pm 17.916 minutes and Group C at 185.71 \pm 9.096 minutes, also statistically significant (P<0.001). The time for rescue analgesia was greatest in Group D (366.38 \pm 53.427 minutes), highlighting the advantages of adding adjuvants.

Motor block was absent in all three groups, attributable to the low dose of hyperbaric Levobupivacaine. Hemodynamic parameters, including systolic and diastolic blood pressure and heart rates, did not show significant differences pre- and post-surgery among the groups, likely due to the minimized doses of local anesthetics. Additionally, the time for the first void of urine post-surgery showed significant differences: Group D at 242.56 \pm 36.826 minutes, Group F at 135.90 \pm 10.935 minutes, and Group C at 100.25 \pm 13.485 minutes, with a P value <0.001 [23].

Regarding adverse effects, the study observed no significant issues like bradycardia, hypotension, nausea, or vomiting across the groups. While some instances of pruritus and shivering were noted in Group F, these effects were minimal and align with findings from previous research. The RAMSAY sedation scores indicated that a small percentage of patients in each group exhibited anxiety, with most being calm and quiet [24].

Overall, the study underscores the effectiveness and safety of using low-dose hyperbaric Levobupivacaine com--

Volume 10, Issue 2, 2024

Lokesh et al., 2024

bined with adjuvants like Fentanyl and Dexmedetomidine in saddle spinal blocks for perianal surgeries, supporting early mobilization and enhanced patient satis-faction while minimizing complications [25].

CONCLUSION

Saddle spinal block is a widely used anesthesia technique for perianal surgeries in adults, utilizing low doses of hyperbaric local anesthetics to limit sympathetic block and facilitate early mobilization. While it does not prolong analgesia when used alone, the study demonstrates the efficacy of combining Dexmedetomidine (10 mcg) and Fentanyl (25 mcg) with hyperbaric Levobupivacaine (4.2 mg). This combination achieves rapid onset of sensory block, prolongs postoperative analgesia, maintains stable hemodynamic parameters, and allows for early mobilization, making it ideal for outpatient procedures.

REFRENCES

- Costa F, Pascarella G, Luffarelli P, Strumia A, Biondo G, Piliego C, Alloni R, Agrò FE. Selective spinal anesthesia with hyperbaric prilocaine provides better perioperative pain control than local anesthesia for ambulatory inguinal hernia repair without affecting discharging time: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Anesthesia, Analgesia and Critical Care. 2022 Jan 31;2(1):6.
- Ishida Y, Okada T, Kobayashi T, Funatsu K, Uchino H. Pain management of acute and chronic postoperative pain. Cureus. 2022 Apr;14(4).
- Imani F, Zaman B, De Negri P. Postoperative pain management: Role of dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant. Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine. 2020 Dec;10(6).
- Singhal A, Taksande K, Singhal Jr A. Role of Adjuvants in Enhancing the Efficacy and Duration of Anesthesia Blocks: A Comprehensive Review. Cureus. 2024 Sep 21;16(9).
- Mowar A, Singh V, Pahade A, Karki G. Effect of three different doses of intrathecal dexmedetomidine on subarachnoid block: a prospective randomized doubleblind trial. Anaesthesia, Pain & Intensive Care. 2022 Feb 7;26(1):8-13.
- Chen Z, Liu Z, Feng C, Jin Y, Zhao X. Dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant in peripheral nerve block. Drug Design, Development and Therapy. 2023 Dec 31:1463-84.
- Lipiński PF, Matalińska J. Fentanyl structure as a scaffold for opioid/non-opioid multitarget analgesics. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2022 Mar 2;23(5) :2766.
- Jankovic D. Regional Nerve Block in Anesthesia and Pain Therapy: General Consideration. In Regional Nerve Blocks in Anesthesia and Pain Therapy: Imaging-guided and Traditional Techniques 2022 Jun 1 (pp. 3-31). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Traudt RJ, Spofford CM. Anesthetic Techniques: Regional. Anesthesia Student Survival Guide: A Case-Based Approach. 2022 Oct 20:235-67.

- Yang J, ZHANG JW. Review of benefits and adverse effects of the most commonly used local anesthetic adjuvants in peripheral nerve blocks. Journal of Physiology & Pharmacology. 2022 Jun 1;73(3).
- Halliwell R, Schug SA. Other specific patient groups. Acute Pain Management: Scientific Evidence, 5th ed. Melbourne: ANZCA & FPM. 2020:781-3.
- Korkutata Z, Tekeli AE, Kurt N. Intraoperative and Postoperative Effects of Dexmedetomidine and Tramadol Added as an Adjuvant to Bupivacaine in Transver-sus Abdominis Plane Block. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2023 Nov 9;12(22):7001.
- Gantasala BV, Singam A, Rallabhandi S, Chaubey K, Deulkar P, Bansal AP. Comparison of Intrathecal-Dexmedetomidine and Nalbuphine as an Adjuvant in Hyperbaric Bupivacaine for Saddle Block and Postoperative in Patients Perianal. Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences-JEMDS. 2020 Jul 20;9(29): 2028-33.
- Peterson KJ, Dyrud P, Johnson C, Blank JJ, Eastwood DC, Butterfield GE, Stekiel TA, Peterson CY, Ludwig KA, Ridolfi TJ. Saddle block anesthetic technique for benign outpatient anorectal surgery. Surgery. 2022 Mar 1;171(3): 615-20.
- 15. Amin SR, Souidan I, Abdelzaam EM. Intrathecal midazolam is a comparable alternative to fentanyl and nalbuphine as adjuvant to bupivacaine in spinal anesthesia for elective Cesarean Section; a randomized controlled double-blind trial. Anaesthesia, Pain & Intensive Care. 2023 Dec 1;27(1):89-96.
- Major AL, Jumaniyazov K, Jabbarov R, Razzaghi M, Mayboroda I. Gynecological Laparoscopic Surgeries under Spinal Anesthesia: Benefits and Challenges. Journal of Personalized Medicine. 2024 Jun 14;14 (6):633.
- Jasinski T, Migon D, Sporysz K, Kamysz W, Owczuk R. The density of different local anesthetic solutions, opioid adjuvants and their clinically used combinations: an experimental study. Pharmaceuticals. 2021 Aug 16;14 (8):801.
- Zhang W, You J, Hu J, Chen X, Wang H, Li N, Wei C, Tang W, Zuo X. Effect of esketamine combined with dexmedetomidine on delirium in sedation for mecha nically ventilated ICU patients: protocol for a nested substudy within a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2024 Jul 2;25(1):431.
- Fang L, Wang Q, Xu Y. Postoperative discharge scoring criteria after outpatient anesthesia: a review of the literature. Journal of Peri Anesthesia Nursing. 2023 Aug 1;38(4):642-9.
- Moll V, Prabhakar A, Ubmann D, Kandler LJ, Piccolruaz P, Thomasius MA, Cornett EM, Kaye AD. Use of Regional Anesthesia/Neuraxial Anesthesia in Ambulatory Surgery Centers. Pain Control in Ambulatory Surgery Centers. 2021:179-202.

- Garcia ER. Local anesthetics. Veterinary Anesthesia and Analgesia: The Sixth Edition of Lumb and Jones. 2024 Jun 26:526-52.
- 22. Smith EL, Shahien AA, Chung M, Stoker G, Niu R, Schwarzkopf R. The obesity paradox: body mass index complication rates vary by gender and age among primary total hip arthroplasty patients. The Journal of Arthroplasty. 2020 Sep 1;35(9):2658-65.
- Thakar U, Tripathi A, Gohil JJ, Vaghela PH, Dabhi MR. Comparative Study of Intrathecal Hyperbaric Levobupivacaine 0.5% Versus Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 0.5% in Spinal Anesthesia in Ortho Surgery. Int J Acad Med Pharm. 2024;6(4):599-603.
- 24. Mohamed S, Befkadu A, Mohammed A, Neme D, Ahmed S, Yimer Y, Girma T. Effectiveness of prophylactic ondansetron in preventing spinal anesthesia induced hypotension and bradycardia in pregnant mother undergoing elective cesarean delivery: A double blinded randomized control trial, 2021. International Journal of Surgery Open. 2021 Sep 1;35:100401.
- Moll V, Prabhakar A, Ubmann D, Kandler LJ, Piccolruaz P, Thomasius MA, Cornett EM, Kaye AD. Use of Regional Anesthesia/Neuraxial Anesthesia in Ambulatory Surgery Centers. Pain Control in Ambulatory Surgery Centers. 2021:179-202.

How to cite: Lokesh S. B., Kavyashree Patil, Hari Prabhakar K., Prakash S. Prospective Double Blinded Randomized Control Study, Comparing the Intrathecal Efficacy of Adjuvants Like Fentanyl 25mcg, Dexmeditomedine 10mcg with Low Dose 0.42% Hyperbaric Levobupivacaine(4.2mg) in Patients Coming for Elective Anorectal Surgeries. *International Medicine*, 2024; 10 (2):1-8