



A Comparative Cross-Sectional Analysis

Assessment of Airway Resistance and Specific Airway Conductance in Centrally Obese Adults

Dr. Juno Mariam Cyril¹, Dr. Sowmya R² & Dr. B. Viswanatha³

¹Department of Physiology, Mount Zion Medical College, Chayalode, India

²Department of Physiology, Bangalore Medical College & Research Institute, Bengaluru, India

³Department of ENT, East Point College of Medical Sciences & Research Center, Bengaluru, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: 12-02-2024

Accepted: 15-03-2024

Keywords:

Specific airway conductance

Central obesity

Waist circumference

Pulmonary mechanics

*Corresponding Author:

Dr. Juno Mariam Cyril

Assistant Professor,

Department of Physiology,

Mount Zion Medical College,

Chayalode, India.

ABSTRACT

Background: Obesity's systemic consequences impact pulmonary function, with central obesity exerting a more significant influence on pulmonary mechanics than peripheral obesity. Waist circumference (WC) emerges as a crucial parameter, yet research focusing on its specific impact on airway mechanics is limited. **Objectives:** The study aims to assess whether centrally obese adults exhibit distinct airway resistance and specific airway conductance compared to gender and age-matched controls using cross-sectional study. **Methods:** A total of ninety individuals between the ages of 20 and 40 took part in the study. The research group consisted of forty-five individuals who were selected based on their waist circumference (WC) being equal to or more than 90 cm in males and 80 cm in women. The remaining 45 individuals were allocated to the control group according to their waist circumference (WC) being below 90 cm in men and 80 cm in females. A body plethysmograph was used to assess airway resistance and conductance. The statistical methodology employed was appropriate, utilizing the Independent Student's t-test to compare the groups. **Results:** The findings showed that the group that participated in the research had a higher airway resistance ($P = 0.03$) than the control group. No significant disparity in specific airway conductance was observed between the two groups, following the application of suitable statistical tests for mean comparison ($P = 0.99$). **Conclusion:** Centrally obese adults experience increased airway resistance attributable to diminished lung volumes rather than intrinsic airway obstruction. This heightened respiratory effort underscores the importance of maintaining a normal waist circumference to mitigate potential respiratory challenges associated with central obesity.

Introduction

The abnormal or excessive accumulation of fat that characterizes obesity may have detrimental consequences on health. Obesity is well recognized as a worldwide occurrence that leads to higher rates of illness and shorter lifespans [1]. The cause of obesity is complex and involves several causes, resulting from the interplay between genes and the environment, lifestyle, and emotional aspects. Due to the absorption of western culture, urbanization, and sedentary lifestyle, the prevalence of obesity has grown substantially in India, where it is now a major health problem for a considerable section of the population [2-4].

Obesity may be categorized as either central or peripheral. Central obesity refers to an accumulation of fat over the thorax,

abdomen, and visceral organs. In contrast, peripheral obesity involves fat deposits mostly in the thighs, hips, and leg areas. Pulmonary function and mechanics are more significantly impacted by central obesity than to peripheral obesity [5, 6]. Studies have demonstrated that, regardless of body mass index (BMI), abdominal obesity, which may be estimated by measuring waist circumference (WC), can affect respiratory mechanics [7, 8]. Waist circumference measurement and interpretation are simple procedures that are highly correlated with visceral fat. Research is accessible that demonstrates the impact of body weight and BMI on airway resistance. However, there is a scarcity of material that illustrates the impact of waist size on airway mechanics [9-11].

Lung function may be adversely affected by a number of systemic consequences resulting from chronic obesity. Furthermore, the thoracic

wall and diaphragm may have difficulty in moving due to the accumulation of adipose tissue in the chest and abdomen. The mechanical pressures placed on the diaphragm cause a reduction in both the expiratory volume and the functional residual capacity, or the quantity of air left in the lungs following a typical expiration. As a result, this leads to decreased flexibility and ease of breathing (respiratory compliance). Obese persons have the challenge of dealing with both the elastic load and higher airway resistance caused by reduced lung capacities related to obesity.

Providing adequate treatment for this expanding patient population requires a thorough understanding of the relationship between obesity and respiratory disorders as well as ensuring that there are enough medical resources available. Spirometry is the most reliable and widely accepted tool for assessing lung function in clinical settings. Nevertheless, the spirometry test lacks the ability to accurately identify peripheral airways illness in individuals who are fat. Using volume-corrected respiratory mechanics measurements, like specific airway conductance, may shed more light on the underlying causes of fat people's airway mechanics. However, only a small number of researches have investigated airway resistance and specific airway conductance in persons who are centrally obese. This is despite the fact that a number of studies have demonstrated the influence that obesity has on basic pulmonary function tests. The objective of this study was to examine if there are significant disparities in airway obstruction and specific airways conductance among people with central obesity and their counterparts who are comparable in terms of age and gender. A body plethysmograph is used for the quantification of airway resistance and specific airway conductance. Our hypothesis is that people who have central obesity would have increased airway resistance compared to control subjects who are similar in terms of gender and age.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Study Design: Cross-sectional Observational Study.

Study Site: Department of Physiology, Bangalore Medical College and Research Institute, Bengaluru.

Study Duration: 6 months

Sampling Technique: Simple Random Sampling

Sample Size: The sample size was calculated by using the following formula which was as follows:

$$n = [(Z_{\alpha} + Z_{(1-\beta)})^2 \sigma^2] / d^2$$

where, n is the required minimum sample size

Z_{α} = level of significance, $Z_{(1-\beta)}$ = power of test,

σ = Standard Deviation & d = Effect Size.

n = 45

Therefore, the sample size for the study consists of 45 study subjects in study group and 45 study subjects in control group.

Inclusion Criteria: Participants between the age of 20 and 40 years, participants willing to provide informed consent for participation in the study, generally healthy individuals without significant persistent respiratory disorders (e.g., asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) or cardiovascular diseases and participants with no history of smoking are included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria: Individuals below 20 or above 40 years of age, pregnant individuals due to potential confounding factors affecting airway dynamics, individuals with evident clinical anomalies in the thoracic cage and spinal column, neuromuscular ailments, documented cases of any allergy or endocrine abnormalities, TB, chronic obstructive pulmonary illness, bronchial asthma, chronic bronchitis, nasolaryngeal disorders, a past medical history of occupational lung diseases, excessive daytime sleepiness, smoking, daytime fatigue, or snoring are excluded from the study.

Data collection methods and Procedures: Clear instructions on the procedures were provided to all participants. The study design included the collection of patient history, conducting a clinical examination, obtaining anthropometric measures (such as height, weight, and waist circumference), and recording airway resistance and specific airway conductance.

Research group and control group were formed based on waist circumference. A measuring tape that does not stretch was used to determine the waist circumference. The waist circumference was measured exactly midway between the iliac crest and the lowest margin of the ribs, at the end of normal expiration. 45 study subjects were taken in the study; all had waist circumference 90 cm or larger in men and 80 cm or larger in women. For this study, we used 45 controls, all of whom were age and gender matched and had a waist circumference of less than 80 cm for women and 90 cm for men.

The body plethysmograph module of the MEC PFT System (Medical Electronic Construction, Brussels, Belgium; Model No. - B1070) was used to assess the specific airway conductance and airway resistance. This is derived from Boyle's Law, which asserts that while the temperature and quantity of gas molecules remain constant, product of pressure and volume remains constant. The whole body plethysmograph comprises a rigid chamber where the patient sits and breathes via a pneumotachograph. The variable pressure plethysmograph operates on the core idea that alterations in plethysmograph pressure may be used to deduce variations in alveolar pressure. This is accomplished by the use of a shutter mechanism, which is located near the mouth in the plethysmograph. The shutter may be closed to temporarily block the airway. Voluntary respiratory attempts are made while the shutter is closed, and the change in alveol-

-ar pressure is determined by measuring the change in mouth pressure. The correlation between alveolar and plethysmographic pressure, which is determined while exerting respiratory efforts against a closed shutter, is then applied to dynamic events during spontaneous breathing in order to assess airway resistance.

Participants were directed to engage in a period of relaxation lasting 5-10 minutes before the commencement of procedure. Subsequently, the process was elucidated and the move was exemplified. Participants were directed to sit erect within the body box and maintain this position during the assessment. They were also told to wear a nasal clip. They were directed to firmly close their lips around the provided mouthpiece. Participants were instructed to do a panting maneuver inside a body plethysmograph with the door closed in order to evaluate airway resistance and specific airway conductance.

This research included both descriptive and inferential statistical analysis. The continuous values are reported as the mean value plus or minus the standard deviation. To compare the two groups, we employed the Independent t-test (two-tailed). A statistically significant p-value was less than 0.05. R 3.4.1 was the version of software used to analyze the data.

RESULTS

Demographic information for the participants is summarized in **Table 1**. No notable distinctions in age or height were observed between the two groups. However, the research group exhibited significantly elevated values for weight, BMI, and waist circumference, with a p-value of 0.0001 ($p < 0.05$). The research group consisted of 22 males and 23 females, while the control group comprised 23 males and 22 females.

Table 2 provides a comparison of airway resistance and specific airway conductance between the two groups. The research group exhibited a statistically significant increase in airway resistance compared to the control group, as indicated by a p-value of 0.03 ($p < 0.05$). Conversely, no significant difference in specific airway conductance was observed between the two groups, with a p-value greater than 0.99.

Table 3 illustrates the correlation matrix which indicates relationships among variables in the study. Age and BMI show a positive correlation (0.28), suggesting a tendency for higher BMI with increasing age. Weight and BMI exhibit a strong positive correlation (0.85), highlighting their close association. Airway resistance and specific airway conductance display a strong negative correlation (-0.8), indicating an inverse relationship - higher airway resistance is associated with lower specific airway conductance.

Table 1: Demographic profile of participants.

Variables Name	Control Group	Research Group	P-Value
Age (years)	24.29 ± 3.09	24.69 ± 3.27	0.55
Height (cm)	165.5 ± 9.97	165.49 ± 9.18	1.000
Weight (kg)	60.5 ± 10.82	75.78 ± 14.34	0.0001*
BMI (kg/m²)	21.98 ± 2.68	27.53 ± 3.54	0.0001*
Waist Circumference (cm)	73.41 ± 8.63	90.87 ± 8.88	0.0001*

***statistically significant**

Table 2: Comparison of airway resistance and specific airway conductance of two groups

Variables Name	Control Group	Research Group	p-value
Airway Resistance (kPa/L/s)	0.3 ± 0.14	0.38 ± 0.1	0.03*
Specific Airway Conductance (1/kPa/s)	1.54 ± 0.63	1.53 ± 1.27	0.99

*statistically significant

Table 3: Correlation Matrix.

Parameters	Age	BMI	Airway Resistance	Specific Airway Conductance
Age	1	0.28	-0.12	0.07
Height	-0.02	0.2	0.05	-0.18
Weight	0.15	0.85	0.32	-0.25
BMI	0.28	1	0.42	-0.36
Waist Circumference	0.1	0.75	0.28	-0.22
Airway Resistance	-0.12	0.42	1	-0.8
Specific Airway Conductance	0.07	-0.36	-0.8	1

DISCUSSION

Our study showed increased airway resistance in centrally obese adults. But, specific airway conductance was comparable between two groups. Both the groups were gender and age matched; they varied significantly only for weight, BMI & waist circumference. As subjects were divided into 2 groups according to WC, increased waist circumference in centrally obese subjects might be the factor responsible for above observed findings.

Similar results were also observed in a study conducted by Zerah F et al. The study included a cohort of 46 individuals,

who were categorized into three separate groups based on their body mass index. Body plethysmography facilitated the assessment of airway resistance. Based on their investigation, the researchers found that the severity of obesity did not impact the specific airway conductance. However, they observed a positive relationship between the amount of obesity and an increase in airway resistance [22-24]. Truncal obesity has a notable impact on airway resistance, as indicated by the research conducted by Abdel Halim H A and colleagues. A total of 102 participants participated in this study, and airway resistance levels were determined using im-

-pulse oscillometry [25].

In contrast, Arevalo A. P. and colleagues discovered that individuals who were obese saw a notable decrease in specific airway conductance (sGaw). This observation contradicts the findings presented in this study. However, the study included a group of thirty-six children and adolescents, ranging in age from six to twelve years. The participants were classified into two groups according to their body mass index (BMI): individuals with a normal weight and individuals with obesity [26, 27].

The decrease in functional residual capacity (FRC) has a considerable impact on measurements of airway function, such as resistance and reactance; since these metrics depend on the precise lung volume at which they are evaluated. "Specific airway conductance" is a volume corrected measure. The computation is obtained by dividing the airway conductance by the lung volume at the moment of measurement. So while airway resistance is increased in obesity, when correction is done for the decreased functional residual capacity with parameters such as specific airway conductance, the airway caliber appears normal [28, 29]. Consequently, the observation that both groups demonstrated comparable airway conductance suggests that the heightened airway resistance observed in centrally obese individuals is primarily due to a decrease in lung capacities rather than a genuine blockage of the airways. A bigger waist circumference may potentially impact pulmonary function by constraining the movement of the diaphragm and chest wall, leading to mechanical consequences. The impact of this mechanical effect becomes more apparent when specifically considering central obesity rather than overall or peripheral fat [30].

There is insufficient knowledge on the precise process that causes changes in airway mechanics due to obesity. Several variables, such as inflammation and the mechanical stress caused by fat accumulation around the abdomen are believed to be the underlying causes. Structural alterations occur as a result of excess adipose tissue in the chest wall, abdomen, and upper airways. These modifications lead to a decrease in lung volumes and capacities, namely in the expiratory reserve volume (ERV) and functional residual capacity (FRC) [31]. The decrease in lung capacity leads to a simultaneous rise in peripheral airway resistance. Hence, the accumulation of adipose tissue on the thoracic wall and abdominal region leads to reduced lung capacities during periods of inactivity and diminished total respiratory flexibility. This decrease in size may result in an increase in airway resistance, necessitating greater exertion for respiration. In obese persons, tidal breathing occurs in a less compliant position within their respiratory system, primarily due to their decreased functional residual capacity (FRC). As a consequence, they face increased airway resistance during tidal breathing.

Furthermore, adipose tissue is recognized for its metabolic activity and its ability to cause inflammation by releasing several chemicals, including adipokines as leptin, resistin, and adiponectin. It is crucial to acknowledge that the receptors for these hormones are extensively dispersed throughout the body, specifically in the lungs [33]. The pro-inflammatory mediators cause an increase in airway inflammation and a decrease in lung viscoelasticity, leading to a loss of lung function. Leptin has been proposed to be involved in the reduction of airway function linked to obesity. The primary explanation for this is the direct impact it has on the smooth muscles of the airways, coupled with its pro-inflammatory properties. Additionally, it is possible that an intensified atopic reaction could result from an increased inflammatory condition triggered by fat which warrants further consideration [34].

Conversely, some investigations have demonstrated that obesity-induced decrease in lung volumes might result in a decrease in the diameter of the peripheral airways. Over time, this can lead to the dysfunction of smooth muscles, resulting in the obstruction of the airway and increased sensitivity. Our analysis did not address the duration of an individual's obesity. However, it is plausible that long-term obesity is the underlying cause of the previously stated process [35].

The current incidence of respiratory ailments and obesity in our culture amplifies the significance of our findings. Obesity rates are increasing worldwide, despite mounting evidence of increased health concerns and a decline in quality of life. Although genetic predisposition can contribute, there are several strategies that can be pursued to treat and prevent obesity, aiming to mitigate a range of medical and respiratory issues. Promoting a lifestyle characterized by enhanced dietary habits and elevated levels of physical activity are vital for public health. It is crucial for governments to work together with public health authorities throughout this endeavor. The respiratory issues linked to obesity can be effectively alleviated by achieving weight loss and participating in physical exercise [36, 37].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the findings of this comparative cross-sectional study demonstrate a heightened airway resistance in centrally obese adults, coupled with comparable specific airway conductance between the two groups under investigation. This suggests that the augmented airway resistance observed in centrally obese individuals is attributed to a reduction in lung volumes rather than intrinsic airway obstruction. Consequently, it is imperative to recognize that centrally obese adults experience an increased respiratory burden, emphasizing the critical importance of maintaining normal waist circumference as a preventive measure. These results contribute to our understanding of the respiratory implications associated with central obesity and underscore the significance of targeted interventions to

mitigate potential respiratory challenges in this population.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This dataset was systematically gathered during the course of a dissertation project, serving as an integral component of the prerequisites for the attainment of the Doctor of Medicine degree in Physiology. We extend our sincere gratitude to the entire staff members at Department of Physiology for their invaluable input and constructive recommendations that significantly enriched the quality of this research endeavor.

ETHICS APPROVAL

All necessary approval including ethical approval has been taken before conducting this study.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIAL

Not Applicable.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

Authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

FUNDING

Research work was not funded.

REFERENCES

- Lin, X. and H. Li, *Obesity: Epidemiology, Pathophysiology, and Therapeutics*. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne), 2021. **12**: p. 706978.
- Fruh, S.M., *Obesity: Risk factors, complications, and strategies for sustainable long-term weight management*. J Am Assoc Nurse Pract, 2017. **29**(S1): p. S3-s14.
- Wharton, S., et al., *Obesity in adults: a clinical practice guideline*. Cmaj, 2020. **192**(31): p. E875-e891.
- Emerenziani, S., et al., *Role of Overweight and Obesity in Gastrointestinal Disease*. Nutrients, 2019. **12**(1).
- Aras, Ş., S. Üstünsoy, and F. Armutçu, *Indices of Central and Peripheral Obesity; Anthropometric Measurements and Laboratory Parameters of Metabolic Syndrome and Thyroid Function*. Balkan Med J, 2015. **32**(4): p. 414-20.
- Peters, U., et al., *BMI but not central obesity predisposes to airway closure during bronchoconstriction*. 2019. **24**(6): p. 543-550.
- Dixon, A.E. and U. Peters, *The effect of obesity on lung function*. Expert Rev Respir Med, 2018. **12**(9): p. 755-767.
- Bjørndal, B., et al., *Different adipose depots: their role in the development of metabolic syndrome and mitochondrial response to hypolipidemic agents*. J Obes, 2011. **2011**: p. 490650.
- Bosomworth, N.J., *Normal-weight central obesity: Unique hazard of the toxic waist*. Can Fam Physician, 2019. **65**(6): p. 399-408.
- Dhawan, D. and S. Sharma, *Abdominal Obesity, Adipokines and Non-communicable Diseases*. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol, 2020. **203**: p. 105737.
- Mafort, T.T., et al., *Obesity: systemic and pulmonary complications, biochemical abnormalities, and impairment of lung function*. Multidiscip Respir Med, 2016. **11**: p. 28.
- Zammit, C., et al., *Obesity and respiratory diseases*. Int J Gen Med, 2010. **3**: p. 335-43.
- Noori, I.F. and A.S. Jabbar, *Impact of weight reduction surgery on static and dynamic lung volumes*. Ann Med Surg (Lond), 2021. **66**: p. 102457.
- Luchesa, C.A. and T.T. Mafort, *Contribution of lung function in predicting distance covered in the 6-min walk test in obese Brazilian women*. 2020. **53**(12): p. e10279.
- Reyes Noriega, N., et al. *Effect of Obesity on Lung Function in the Pediatric and Adult Populations with Asthma: A Review*. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2023. **12**, DOI: 10.3390/jcm12165385.
- Poulain, M., et al., *The effect of obesity on chronic respiratory diseases: pathophysiology and therapeutic strategies*. Cmaj, 2006. **174**(9): p. 1293-9.
- Klepaker, G., et al., *Influence of asthma and obesity on respiratory symptoms, work ability and lung function: findings from a cross-sectional Norwegian population study*. 2021. **8**(1).
- Lo Mauro, A., et al., *Pulmonary and chest wall function in obese adults*. Sci Rep, 2023. **13**(1): p. 17753.
- Zerah, F., et al., *Effects of obesity on respiratory resistance*. Chest, 1993. **103**(5): p. 1470-6.
- Shanmugasundaram, K., et al., *Effect of Obesity on Airway Mechanics*. Indian J Endocrinol Metab, 2023. **27**(2): p. 161-166.
- Sylvester, K.P., et al., *ARTP statement on pulmonary function testing 2020*. 2020. **7**(1).
- Rouatbi, S., et al., *The Ventilatory and Diffusion Dysfunctions in Obese Patients with and without Obstructive Sleep Apnea-Hypopnea Syndrome*. 2020. **2020**: p. 8075482.
- Berger, K.I., et al., *Respiratory impedance measured using impulse oscillometry in a healthy urban population*. ERJ Open Res, 2021. **7**(1).
- Alter, P., et al., *Influence of body mass on predicted values of static hyperinflation in COPD*. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis, 2018. **13**: p. 2551-2555.
- Abdelhalim HA, Aboelnaga HH, Elmansoury AM. *Effect of truncal obesity on airway resistance*. Egypt J Bronchol. 2015;9:133–9.
- Parraguez Arévalo, A., et al., *The impact of obesity on specific airway resistance and conductance among schoolchildren*. Arch Argent Pediatr, 2018. **116**(2): p. e227-e233.
- Bylin, G., et al., *Specific airway conductance and airway*

- conductance-lung volume curves in normal and asthmatic subjects.* Bull Eur Physiopathol Respir, 1987. **23**(6): p. 599-605.
28. Gold, W.M. and L.L. Koth, *Pulmonary Function Testing.* Murray and Nadel's Textbook of Respiratory Medicine. 2016:407-435.e18. doi: 10.1016/B978-1-4557-3383-5.00025-7. Epub 2015 Apr 3.
29. de Sá, P.M., et al., *Early Diagnosis of Respiratory Abnormalities in Asbestos-Exposed Workers by the Forced Oscillation Technique.* PLOS ONE, 2016. **11**(9): p. e0161981.
30. Perossi, L., et al., *Increased airway resistance can be related to the decrease in the functional capacity in obese women.* 2022. **17**(6): p. e0267546.
31. Ellulu, M.S., et al., *Obesity and inflammation: the linking mechanism and the complications.* Arch Med Sci, 2017. **13**(4): p. 851-863.
32. van Noord, J.A., et al., *Effect of rib cage and abdominal restriction on total respiratory resistance and reactance.* J Appl Physiol (1985), 1986. **61**(5): p. 1736-40.
33. Fantuzzi, G., *Adipose tissue, adipokines, and inflammation.* J Allergy Clin Immunol, 2005. **115**(5): p. 911-9; quiz 920.
34. Nair, P., et al., *The effects of leptin on airway smooth muscle responses.* Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol, 2008. **39**(4): p. 475-81.35. Salome, C.M., G.G. King, and N. Berend, *Physiology of obesity and effects on lung function.* J Appl Physiol (1985), 2010. **108**(1): p. 206-11.
36. Hruby, A. and F.B. Hu, *The Epidemiology of Obesity: A Big Picture.* Pharmacoeconomics, 2015. **33**(7): p. 673-89.
37. Wilborn, C., et al., *Obesity: prevalence, theories, medical consequences, management, and research directions.* J Int Soc Sports Nutr, 2005. **2**(2): p. 4-31.